

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,139		10/25/2000	Robert A. Sanderson	09850-005005 2871		
26171	7590	09/17/2002				
FISH & RI			EXAMINER			
1425 K STREET, N.W. 11TH FLOOR			KAN		EN, NOAH P	
WASHING	NGTON, DC 20005-3500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3747	<del>.</del> .	
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/696,139	SANDERSON ET AL	•				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Noah Kamen	3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note be							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 rejection of claim 72.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 69-71.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>48,52,53,62-65 and 67</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: 45,47,49-51,54-61,66,68,72,74,75	i and 77-93.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. ☑ Other: see attached IDS statements		Noah Kamen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3747					



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments regarding Meylaers alone are not persuasive because the rejection is based on two references. Applicant reads too much structure into the limitation of "double ended members", a joint with piston rods rigidly attached on either side and rigidly attached to pistons is deemed to read on the limitation. Applicants' characterization of how the secondary references would destroy the primary reference are not deemed persuasive. There are many ways that the joint of the secondary references may be incorporated into Meylaers without destroying same.